The Seventh Annual International Conference of the International Systems Institute on the Comprehensive Design of Evolutionary Learning Systems

A Report from Working Group D

D-Gang:

Building a Design Culture through Evolutionary Learning Communities

<u>Group Members:</u> Alexander and Kathia Laszlo, Sue McCormick, Ken Bausch, Muriel Adcock, Kathryn Alexander, Arne Collen, and Diana Ryan.

> Asilomar Conference Center Pacific Grove, California

> > November 5-10, 1995

Abstract

D-Gang is a research team that began as "Group D" or the Design Culture group in the ISI Conversation Events prior to the 1990s, and has evolved over the yearly meetings through a progression of ever more finely tuned research foci. In 1990 and '91 we were concerned with defining the concept of a "design culture"; by '92 the quest was for the principles and steps to guide designing communities; in '93 we focused on the components for building a design culture (the design principles, the learning system, and the resources or "tools" of design); by '94 we evolved from a Group to a Gang and focused our efforts on the relational dynamics among the components previously identified; and in '95 we sought to consider what would be a design culture that would enable transcendence, and in the process, reached an impasse in our own transcendence as a research team with unity of purpose. This report will not enter into the details of the first three of the five days together at Asilomar'95 during which conversation failed to bring consensus on the processes and objectives of inquiry to which individual team members wished to lend their efforts. However, some "lessons learned" from these three days will be shared in the hope that there may be a benefit for the larger ISI community in guarding against such dynamics in future conversations. The main focus of this report will be on the final day and a half during which D-Gang split into two semi-independent subgroups, bound only by agreement on the original triggering question. One subgroup was comprised of those members who came to D-Gang expecting to join a conversation that had an established, coherent line of inquiry and a knowledge base that would serve as a resource to ensuing D-Gang conversations. These members had planned to bring individual knowledge, experience, and information to the existing line of inquiry, using conversation methodology to create new knowledge, working with the existing flow of the intact line of inquiry in a synergistic, constructivist learning process. Alexander, Kathia, Sue, and Muriel became D-FILI Subgroup (Following an Intact Line of Inquiry). A second subgroup was comprised of those members who came to D-Gang expecting to employ conversation methodology to consider the issue of Design Culture and Transcendence without any preconceived line of inquiry to fetter the emergence of insight. These members wished to pursue conversation as a process, letting the line of inquiry emerge from the dynamic of the conversation itself. Arne, Ken, Diana, and Kathryn The ongoing activities of "D became D-TiP Subgroup (Trust in Process). fragmented Gang" during the time since the November Conversation Event have witnessed the strengthening of D-FILI Subgroup research initiatives and the atrophy of those of D-TiP Subgroup. For the sake of maintaining the spirit of inquiry expressed in the opening paragraph of the previous Annual Report -- "Although the membership has shifted and changed over the years, there has always been a direct passing of the torch from year to year" -- this report will conclude with a fresh set action-research objectives for future D-Gang inquiry. These are to build understanding of Evolutionary Learning Communities (ELCs) as ideal learning systems that foment the emergence of a Design Culture.

<u>D-Gang Existential Crisis & Angst:</u> Lessons Learned from the first three days of conversation

The first three days of Asilomar'95 were tortuous for D-Gang. The following account is a synthesis of what happened with a focus on "lessons learned" that may contribute to future organizing and planning for the Asilomar Conversation Event as well as inform the larger ISI community in general.

A review of some of the essential elements for effective group work reveals at least three non-productive "tension sources" that arose during these three days. The first D-Gang tension source was individual expectations of the conversation experience, or common goals, as described in the Abstract above. This difference in expectations or goals was unresolvable, given the limited (four day) time frame, and perhaps the remaining identified tension sources emanated from this one.

The second tension source for D-Gang was communication. We did establish some communication ground rules on the first day, but we also ignored many of these as we engaged in conversation. For example, one of our ground rules addressed active, respectful listening, yet many times we interrupted one another, overtly with interjected statements, and more subtly with side conversations. This violation of our ground rules (and healthy group dynamics) probably contributed to some members feeling reluctance and uncertainty about openly expressing their thoughts and feelings, or even about expressing themselves at all.

A third significant source of tension was a lack of well-defined decision making procedures. Again, we had addressed the importance of consensus in our ground rules, yet as we attempted conversation and dialogue, we struggled with consensual processes. We could not reach agreement on whether or not designated roles and ground rules were important to group dynamics. Finding common ground seemed an elusive, if not impossible task for us.

Content Reports: D-TiP and D-FILI Subgroups

On the afternoon of the third day, D-Gang divided into two smaller groups: one would engage in conversation and "trust in process" (labeled D-TiP Subgroup) letting the line of inquiry emerge from the conversation. The other would "follow an intact line of inquiry" based on the trajectory of inquiry developed through previous years of D-Gang research (earning it the designation of D-FILI Subgroup). This section of the group report will describe the conversation of each subgroup which took place during the remaining day and a half, covering first that of D-TiP Subgroup and subsequently D-FILI Subgroup. Both teams agreed to consider the following triggering question: What is a design culture that would enable transcendence?

D-TiP Subgroup report (submitted by Ken, Arne, Diana, and Kathryn)

Because both Kathryn and Diana had separate commitments on Wednesday afternoon, the first formulation was composed by Ken and Arne. First, Ken recapitulated his "biological" model of an evolutionary learning organization (Part I, below). then Arne and Ken abstracted the characteristics of a "culture that would enable transcendence" (Part II, below). Later, Diane added two characteristics that expressed the contributions of herself and Kathryn (Part III, below).*

After our joint meeting on Thursday afternoon, Diana and Ken prepared a revised version of our characteristics of a design group that would enable transcendence (Part IV, below). At the Friday general meeting, Ken presented an explanation of that revised version of characteristics (Part V, below).

Part I

Posed Ouestion: What is a design culture that would enable transcendence?

Understanding of Question: What processes, characteristics, and/or

organization would a design culture need if it

were to enable transcendence?

Abstract:

Such a culture would embody processes that are arguably the universal principles of transcendence in the universe. These universal rules are most easily identified in the process of physical and biological evolution. These rules/processes/stages can be abstracted from the work of Prigogine, Eigen, and Maturana and Varela.

Sketch of Evolutionary Background:

Prigogine

Matter is process; (it is autocatalytic).

Open systems can be pushed far-from-equilibrium; (they experience turbulence).

At far-from-equilibrium, processes bifurcate; (they create dissipative structures; they create a metabolism with their environment).

^{*} Unfortunately, Kathryn was unable to conclude the Conversation Event with us due to a tradgedy in her family. As representatives of the entire ISI Community, we wish to express our unity of spirit with her, then as now.

Eigen

Processes transcatalyze each other

When a protein cycle produces a nucleic acid cycle that, in turn, catalyzes the protein to self-reproduce, than a "hypercycle" (a cycle within a cycle: a form of a positive feedback loop) is formed.

When cyclic processes compartmentalize, the increase the fidelity of their replication.

When compartmentalization and hypercycles are joined, then a living cell (a "compartmented hypercycle") is formed.

Maturana and Varela

Living things are autopoietic, they generate their own processes of generation. (They generate the proteins, etc., that generate their life and the organization that is their life.)

Living things are a closed (autopoietic) organization and an open metabolic structure.

Living things evolve as they vary their structures to meet the changing metabolic demands of their environments while maintaining the integrity of their organization.

Part II: Characteristics of a design group that enables transcendence.

1) flexibility and variety of response.

2) a culture that can lower its boundaries to (or constantly be open to) seek, accept, and use the tools, services, and products of other cultures.

3) maintain integrity and reorganize structures; maintain sense of affiliation.4) a culture that is conscious of process and is able to consciously reframe itself;

e.g., a living language.

- 5) a culture that pursues attractors. An attractor is potentiality that is invisible; you do not see it until the process is well underway. E.gs., a gut feeling that, once acted upon, creates a clearer focus; or a group of colleagues who are drawn together at a dinner during an international conference, and generate the excitement that creates a new book, movement, etc. Energy, excitement, then focus.
- 6) a conscious design.
- 7) Synergy: a going together, a mutual movement of thinking.

Part III:

8) nurturing.

9) a movement forward on all fronts: body, mind, spirit, time (rhythm), and group dynamics...

Part IV:

- * flexible
- * open
- * nurturing
- * maintaining integrity
- * having attractors
- * synergizing
- having reflexivity
- * engaging all levels of development.

Part V:

These characteristics do not consider an evolutionary learning organization in the context of systems within systems. They consider a learning organization as it enacts its tasks in the present.

The first three characteristics consider the present in the present. The fourth considers the continuing presence of the past. The fifth considers the felt presence of the future. The sixth, seventh, and eighth consider effects on energy, process, and human development that are generated in the present.

D-FILI Subgroup report (submitted by Sue, Alexander, Kathia, and Muriel)

On the afternoon of the third day, D-FILI Subgroup immediately determined that there were two important tasks facing us, thus our conversation became focused on accomplishing two objectives: 1) to debrief and process what had occurred during the first two and half days with the larger conversation group; and 2) to identify and frame (clarify) the research question(s) that would guide and inform our inquiry for the coming year. We knew it was too late in the week to accomplish more than this.

Debriefing and Processing:

The debriefing and processing session became an exploration of the following question: What lessons have we learned from this experience? Our intent was twofold. First, some of us needed to work through the feelings we experienced as a result of the unresolved dissonance in the dynamics of the larger conversation group. Second, we wanted to determine whether this aspect of D-Gang experience could contribute information to the larger ISI community in general, and to future organizing and planning for the Asilomar Conversation event in particular.

As a Subgroup of D-Gang, analyzing the three tension sources, we determined that the lack of agreement on common goals (related to different expectations for the conversation dynamic) was probably the largest obstacle for D-Gang, and one that may be avoidable through some basic organizational procedures. We thought the Asilomar'95 D-Gang experience in this area might hold some important lessons for the larger ISI community and the planning of future Asilomar events. It was proposed that making explicit the nature and intent of various conversation groups might reduce the likelihood that people would join a group expecting one type of inquiry only to discover that they would likely experience something fundamentally different. The proposal to describe the nature (identity) and intent (purpose/goals) of each conversation group and to then communicate these in advance to prospective Asilomar participants was discussed in the afternoon meeting held on our last day. It is our sincere hope that clarity of identity and purpose will not only help reduce uncertainty and confusion about expectations, but that it may in fact help us develop a diversity of topic and process options that will contribute significantly to the strength and integrity of the ISI community as a whole.

Identifying and framing the research question(s):

Next we turned our focus to identifying and framing the questions that would guide our inquiry for the coming year. We agreed to carry on with the work that some members of D-FILI Subgroup had done in previous years of D-Gang activity to explore the following questions:

- What is a design culture?
- What are the tools, strategies, and methods used within a design culture?
- 3. What are the relational dynamics between/among design tools and processes that would be appropriate for a design community?

It was decided that this year's work would continue to use these questions as core elements in the research design, working toward a clearer understanding of an evolutionary learning community as ideal learning systems that proactively co-evolves with its environment toward the creation of a Design Culture. In this pursuit, we would gather data and information around the following interpenetrating strands of inquiry, which function as our learning objectives:

Research and identify knowledge sources relevant to Evolutionary
Learning Communities (ELCs), including relevant work in the fields of
systems theory, systems design, organizational and management
science, as well as current learning theory from the neuro and
cognitive sciences, and other branches of psychology.

	following questions:
.ε	Conduct field studies of identified ELCs to gather data related to the
	and a conceptual model developed in previous work (see published proceedings from ISI Asilomar Conversation, 1994, Group D report).
2.	Identify examples of ELCs from both the current D-Gang knowledge base

(b) How does this operational definition differ from ELC to ELC? members of the ELC and its stakeholders?

(c) How does the operational definition compare to current theoretical

constructs?

support individual, group, and organizational learning? (d) What are the functions, structures, and processes in the ELC that

(e) What are the characteristics of the boundaries within the ELC and

(f) How do boundary characteristics impact roles and relationships between the ELC and its systemic environment?

within the ELC and between the ELC and other systems in the

(g) What resources are required by the ELC? When, where, and how are environment?

these procured?

are these shared and disseminated among other systems in the (h) What resources are generated by the ELC? When, where, and how

(i) What technologies support learning in the ELC? environment? .4

among identified ELCs and members of the ISI community of inquiry. Facilitate the development of a networking and learning partnership

pages 60-63). International Conversation on Comprehensive Design of Social Systems, 1994, results developed during Asilomar'93 (see Proceedings of the Sixth during Asilomar'94, which in turn were based on the lists of processes and describe the qualities and characteristics of an ELC. These had been generated the "essential attractors" for an evolutionary learning system as a resource to to determine their ongoing appropriateness and usefulness. We plan to use We felt there were portions of previous D-Gang work that we needed to revisit

during Asilomar'95 when, after listening to the presentation of Javier Carrillo, We actually began applying the initial strategy of identifying examples of ELCs

with us in our forthcoming year(s) of inquiry. Before we left Asilomar, we Communities. During our session with Dr. Carrillo, he agreed to collaborate gathered data that will help us identify and study other Evolutionary Learning an example of the kind of learning community we are hoping to study, we also the Center. On the basis of this interview, we not only decided that the CKS is interview him to gather more data related to the qualities and characteristics of an emerging Evolutionary Learning Community. We asked him if we might Knowledge Systems (CKS) in Monterrey, Mexico, may in fact be an example of we decided that the research institute which he directs, the Center for agreed to also contact the Institute for Collaborative Community Studies (ICCS) in Monterey, California, which one of our members considered another example of a nascent Evolutionary Learning Community. Contact with the ICCS has now been made independently (and in person) by all four members of our Subgroup, and we will be engaged in a collaborative inquiry with staff and members of this community as well.

Other examples of ELCs continue to come to our attention. One is "Coevolution Southern Idaho," which is a "process of community-level participation in the evolution of culture toward forms which are more supportive of the process of human fulfillment and a sustainable relationship with the environment" (Shapiro, 1995, from an unpublished manuscript describing the process). A member of our Subgroup has contacted the coordinator of this evolutionary process, and a collaborative learning partnership between CSI and ISI is in the offing. As of the mid April 1996, three more ELCs have been identified and contacted: one is within the Illinois state school system; another is DynExcel, an organization located in Monterrey, Mexico; and the third is the Club of Budapest with it's world-wide system Regional Centers of Planetary Consciousness (RCPCs) -- one in Monterrey, Mexico, and another recently established in San Francisco, California. We are confident that as this network of ELCs and the process of collaborative inquiry develop, more examples and field sites will come to our attention, bringing more ELCs into the learning network.

Conclusions:

We expect ongoing D-Gang inquiry to lead us to a better understanding of ELCs, how they work, and how give rise to a Design Culture, as well as how design cultures, in turn, foster evolutionary learning. In addition, we hope to gain a better understanding of the nature of learning itself. Our action research ideal is to seek out, identify, and nurture emerging Evolutionary Learning Communities (ELCs). These we define below, with the concordant set of research hypotheses that follow:

ELCs are those human activity systems that pursue sustainable pathways for co-evolutionary development in synergistic interaction with their milieu. They do so by means of individual, group, and organizational processes of empowerment and learning how to learn. We postulate that one of the emergent effects of operational ELCs could be the creation of ideal-nurturing learning ecologies. These ecologies are designed out of the mater/energy and information environments in which ELCs operate. An active learning ecology for one or more ELCs would serve as their Design Culture. The spread of Design Culture(s) could propitiate the emanation of other ELCs through purposeful positive feedback cycles of sustainable evolutionary systems design.

D-Gang research invitation

For those of you who are interested in pursuing the line of inquiry outlined in the previous section, here are some things you may wish to do:

- 1. Perhaps the most important thing is to be interested in learning about building a design culture on the understanding that we are following an intact line of inquiry and therefore will build on the knowledge base developed in previous years of research. Each D-Gang member seeks to be open to all contributions that help evolve the line of inquiry with which we are engaged (including, taking it in new and unforeseen directions). Therefore, each of us integrates our contributions as part of an on-going research process. With such a disposition, D-Gang is open to whomever wishes to join the inquiry.
- 2. Familiarize yourself with the research trajectory of D-Gang:

"Design Tools: Toward the concrete and conceptual heuristics of a design culture." Proceedings of the Sixth Annual International Conference of ISI. Asilomar Conference Center, Pacific Grove, CA: 13-18 November 1994. Pp. 55-77.

"Invitation to Collaborate in D-Gang's Following an Intact Line of Inquiry Subgroup." The ISI Conversations Newsletter, Vol. I, No. 3, December 1995. Pp. 5-6.

"Building a Design Culture through Evolutionary Learning Communities." The ISI Conversations Newsletter, Vol. I, No. 4, March 1996. P. 5.

3. Choose some area of systems design, organizational learning, evolutionary dynamics, systems inquiry, trends in education, learning and human development, world trends and social evolution, or organizational change, and become familiar with the concepts and their implications for D-Gang's line of inquiry. For example, at least two of our current members plan to ground part of their inquiry in current brain research, drawing from theory and knowledge sources in the neuro and cognitive sciences. To aid you in this preparation, we have compiled a resource list for designing a Design Culture through Evolutionary Learning Communities, and attached it below. This represents D-Gang Knowledge base, and may also be found online thanks to the efforts of Mark Ottenberg and his ISI Access Center. The Access Center is located on the World Wide Web at the following site: http://www.clark.net/pub/nhp/isi/homepage.html. It appears under the heading of D-Gang Knowledge Base: DCs and ELCs (referring to the Design Cultures and Evolutionary Learning Communities which form the subject of our inquiry).

D-Gang Knowledge Base

A resource list for designing a Design Culture through Evolutionary Learning Communities

Systems Inquiry

- Ackoff, R.L. "The Systems Revolution" in Long Range Planning, 1976, pp. 1-20. Banathy, Bela H. Designing Social Systems in a Changing World. New York: Plenum Press, 1996.
- von Bertalanffy, L. General System Theory: Essays on its Foundation and Development, rev. ed. New York: George Braziller, 1968.
- Boulding, K.E. "General Systems Theory -- the skeleton of science" in Management Science, Vol 2, No.3, 1956, pp. 197-208.
- Bullock, Alan and Oliver Stallybrass, eds. The Fontana Dictionary of Modern Thought. London: Fontana/Collins, 1977.
- Checkland, P. Systems Thinking, Systems Practice. New York: Wiley, 1981.
- ---- "The Shape of the Systems Movement" in Journal of Applied Systems Analysis. Vol. 6, 1979, pp. 129-135.
- ---- and J. Scholes. Soft Systems Methodology in Action. New York: Wiley & Sons, 1990.
- Churchman, C. West and Russell L. Ackoff. Methods of Inquiry: An Introduction to Philosophy and Scientific Method. Saint Louis: Educational Publishers, 1950.
- Flood, R.L. "An Improved Version of the Process of Total Systems Intervention (TSI)" in *Systems Practice*, Vol. 8, 1995, p. 3.
- ---- "Liberating Systems Theory: Toward critical systems theory." Human Relations, Vol. 43, No. 1, 1990, pp. 49-75.
- ---- and M.C. Jackson, eds. Critical Systems Thinking: Directed Readings. Chichester: Wiley, 1991.
- ---- and M.C. Jackson Creative Problem Solving: Total Systems Intervention. Chichester, Wiley, 1991.
- Françoise, Charles. Diccionario de Teoría General de Sistemas y Cibernética: Concpetos y términos. Buenos Aires: GESI, 1992.
- Hall, A.D. and R.E. Fagen "Definition of System" in *General Systems*, Yearbook of the Society for General Systems Research (SGSR now ISSS), 1956.
- Krippner, Stanley. "The Holistic Paradigm" in World Futures, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1991.
- Laszlo, Ervin. "The Meaning and Significance of General System Theory" in Behavioral Science, Vol. 20, No. 1, 1975, pp. 9-24.
- ---- The Systems View of the World. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1972.
- ---- The Relevance of General Systems Theory: Papers presented to Ludwig von Bertalanffy on his seventieth birthday. New York: George Braziller, 1972.

- ---- Introduction to Systems Philosophy: Toward a new paradigm of contemporary thought. New York: Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, 1972.
- Lilienfeld, R. The Rise of Systems Theory: An Ideological Analysis. New York: Wiley, 1978.
- Miklos, Tomás y Ma. Elena Tello. Planeación Prospectiva: Una estrategia para el diseño del futuro. México: Limusa, 1991.
- Rapoport, A. "General System Theory," in *The International Encyclopedia of Social Sciences*, Vol. 15, pp. 452-458. David L. Sills (ed). New York: Macmillan & The Free Press, 1968.
- Strijbos, S. "How can Systems Thinking Help us in Bridging the Gap between Science and Wisdom?" in Systems Practice, Vol. 18, No. 4, August, 1995.
- Tehranian, M. Toward a Systemic Theory of National Development. Tehran: IMI Press, 1974.
- Ulrich, W. Critical Heuristics of Social Planning: A new approach to practical philosophy. Bern: Haupt, 1983.

Trends in Education

- Banathy, Bela H. "Developing a Systems View of Education." Educational Technology, May-June 1995, pp. 53-57. --- "Business as Usual?" en The Sunday Herald, California, February 6 1994. ---- "Comprehensive Systems Design in Education." Educational Technology, July-August, 1994, pp. 32-34. ---- "We Must Design New Education Systems" en The Sunday Herald, California, September 4 1994. ---- "Systems Design is a Powerful Tool for Changing Education " en The Sunday Herald, California, September 11 1994. ---- "The Cognitive Mapping of Societal Systems: Implications for education," in The Evolution of Cognitive Maps: New paradigms for the twenty-first century, Ervin Laszlo et.al. (eds.), Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1993, pp. 205-220. ---- A Systems View of Education: Concepts and Principles for Effective Practice. Englewood Cliffs: Educational Technology, 1992. --- "Designing Educational Systems: Creating Our Future in a Changing World" in Educational Technology, November 1992, pp. 41-46.
- "Cognitive Mapping of Educational Systems for Future Generations." in World Futures, Vol. 31, 1991, pp. 5-17.
- ---- Systems Design of Education: A journey to create the future. Englewood Cliffs: Educational Technology, 1991.
- "Systems Design: A creative response to the current educational predicament," in Comprehensive Systems Design: A new educational Technology, Charles M. Reigeluth et. al. (eds.), NATO ASI Series F, (December) 1990.

- ---- "Systems Inquiry in Education," in Systems Practice, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1988, pp. 193-212.
- Block, Alberto. Innovación Educativa: El sistema integral de enseñanzaaprendizaje. México: Trillas, 1987.
- Boulton, David. "From Here to Implicity," Special supplement, part III, GN/GE/Vol.III/No.1 ISSN-1188-6307, March 1993.
- Cummins, Jim and Dennis Sayers. Brave New Schools: Challenging cultural illiteracy through global learning networks. USA: St. Martins Pr. Inc., 1995.
- Bricken, Meredith S. "Virtual Reality Learning Environments: Potentials and Challenges." *Computer Graphics Magazine*. Vol. 25, No. 3, July 1991, p. 178-184.
- Joyce, Bruce, James Wolf and Emily Calhoun. The Self Renewing School.
- Caine, Renate Nummela and Geoffrey Caine. Making Connections: Teaching and the Human Brain. USA: AW, 1994.
- Castro, Kathia. "An Education for a Better Future" in Global Issues Guidebook, Washington, D.C: Student Pugwash, July 1995.
- ---- "A Learning Oriented Education" in NGE News, Vol. 2, No. 4, May 1994.
- Center for Conflict Resolution. "A Manual for Group Facilitators", Madison: CCR, 1978.
- Dede, Christopher J. "The Future of Multimedia: Bridging to Virtual Worlds" in Educational Technology. Vol. 32, No. 5, May 1992, pp. 54-60.
- Kelly, Richard V., Jr. "VR and The Educational Frontier" in Virtual Reality Special Report. Vol. 1, No. 3, Fall 1994, pp. 7; 9-15.
- Laszlo, Alexander and Kathia Castro. "Technology and Values: Interactive Learning Envorinments for Future Generations" in *Educational Technology*, Vol. 35, No. 2, March-April 1995.
- Lowenstein, Ronnie, and Barbee, David E. *The New Technology: Agent of Transformation*. Washington, DC: Department of Labor, Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Development, 1990. 11 p. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 329 248).
- McLellan, Hilary. Virtual Reality: Case Studies in Design for Collaboration & Learning. Medford, NJ: Learned Information, Inc., 1994.
- ---- "Virtual Reality and Multiple Intelligences: Potentials for Higher Education" in *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*. Vol. 5, No. 2, Spring 1994, pp. 33-66.
- Moshell, J. M., and Hughes, C. E. "Shared Virtual Worlds for Education" in Virtual Reality World, Vol. 2, No. 1, January 1994, pp. 63-74.
- Reigeluth, Charles M., and Robert J. Garfinkle, eds. Systemic Change in Education. Englewood Cliffs: Educational Tecnology, 1994.
- Saettler, Paul. The Evolution of American Educational Technology. Englewood: Libraries Unlimited Inc., 1990.
- SCANS. Learning a Living: A blueprint for high performance. A SCANS Report for America 2000. U.S. Department of Labor, April 1992.
- West, Charles, K. et. al. Instructional Design: Implications from Cognitive Science. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1991.

- "What Curriculum for the 21st Century?" Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, 1990. 6p. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 332 663)
- Wilson, Kenneth and Bennett Daviss. *Redesigning Education*. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1994.
- Zarzar Charur, Carlos. *Grupos de Aprendizaje*. México: Editorial Nueva Imagen, 1988.

Learning and Human Development

- Costa, Arthur L. (ed.) Developing Minds: A resource book for teaching thinking. Vol. 1, Alexandria: ASCD, 1991.
- ---- (ed.) Developing Minds: Programs for teaching thinking. Vol. 2, Alexandria: ASCD, 1991.
- ---- "Mediating the Metacognitive" in *Educational Leadership*, November 1984, pp. 57-62.
- De Bono, Edward. Six Thinking Hats: An Essential Approach to Business

 Management from the Creator of Lateral Thinking. Little, Brown & Co.,

 1986.
- ---- Lateral Thinking: Creativity Step by Step. HarperCollins Pubs. Inc., 1973. De Sánchez, Margarita A. Desarrollo de Habilidades del Pensamiento: Procesos
- De Sánchez, Margarita A. Desarrollo de Habilidades del Pensamiento: Procesos básicos del pensamiento. México: Trillas, 1991.
- Gardner, Howard. Multiple Intelligences: The Theory in Practice. New York: Basic Books, 1993.
- ---- The Unschooled Mind: How children think and how schools should teach. New York: Basic Books, 1991.
- ---- The Mind's New Science: A history of the cognitive revolution. New York: Basic Books, 1987.
- ---- Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences. New York: Basic Books, 1983.
- ---- Goleman, Daniel. Emotional Intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. New York: Bantam Books, 1995.
- Jones, Beau Fly and Lorna Idol (eds.), Dimensions of Thinking and Cognitive Instruction, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlabaum Associates, 1990.
- Knowles, Malcom S. The Adult Learner: A Neglected species. Houston: Gulf Publishing Company, 1990.
- ---- The Modern Practice of Adult Education, Engleewood Cliff: Cambridge, 1980.
- ---- Self-directed Learning. Chicago: Follet Publishing Company, 1975.
- ---- Leonard, George B. Education and Ecstasy. New York: Delacorte, 1968.
- Hart, Lesley. The Human Brain and Human Learning.
- Nadler, Gerald and Shozo Hibino. Breakthrough Thinking: Why we must change the way we solve problems, and the seven principles to achieve this. Rocklin, CA: Prima Publishing, 1990.

- Pozo, Juan Ignacio. Teorías Cognitivas del Aprendizaje. 2da. edición. Madrid: Ediciones Moralta, 1993.
- Rogers, Carl. Freedom to Learn for the 80's. New York: Merril, 1983.
- ---- On becoming a person. Boston: Houghton Miffling Company, 1961.
- Sernberg, Robert. Beyond IQ: A triarchic theory of human intelligence. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

World Trends and Social Evolution

- Banathy, Bela H. "Is the Improvement of the Human Condition Our Field? Making Evolutionary Science Work for Human Betterment" in *World Futures*, Vol. 38, 1993, p. 17-31.
- ---- "From Evolutionary Consciousness to Guided Evolution" in *World Futures*, Vol. 36, 1993, p.73-79.
- ---- "The Design of Evolutionary Guidance Systems" in Systems Research, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1989, p. 289-295.
- Berry, T. The Dream of the Earth. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1988.
- Briggs, John P. and F. David Peat. Looking Glass Universe: The emerging science of wholeness.. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1984.
- Capra, F. The Turning Point. New York: Simon and Shuster, 1982.
- Cerroni-Long, E.L. "Evolution and Human Choices" in *World Futures*, Vol. 40, 1994, p. 215-225.
- Ceruti, M. Constraints and Possibilities: The evolution of knowledge and the knowledge of evolution. New York: Gordon & Breach, 1993.
- Csikszentmihalyi, Mikaly. The Evolving Self: A psychology for the third millenium. New York: Harper Perennial, 1993.
- Davies, P. The Cosmic Blueprint: new discoveries in nature's creative ability to order the universe. New York: Touchstone, 1988.
- Gates, Bill. The Road Ahead.
- Huxley, J. Evolution in Action. New York: Harper, 1953.
- Laszlo, Alexander. "Science and Socio-Ecological Responsibility Revisited" in Systems Research (pending, 1996).
- ---- "A Cognitive Map of Cultural Change: Systemic concepts of energy and culture," in *The International Systems Handbook*, Raphael Rodríguez Delgado (ed.), 1992.
- ---- "A Cognitive Map of Cultural Change: Systemic Concepts of Energy and Culture," in *The International Systems Handbook*, Raphael Rodríguez Delgado (ed). Madrid: SESGE, 1992.
- ---- "Fostering Design Competencies: Empathizing With and Enhancing Individual and Collective Self-Development Capacities." Proceedings of the Sixth International Fuschl Conversation. Fuschl, Austria, 1992.
- ---- "Sociocultural Systems: The cognitive dimension of cultural change," in Revista Internacional de Sistemas, Vol. 2, No. 2, (May-August) 1990, pp. 125-150.

Organizational Change

Ackoff, Russell L., Creating the Corporate Future: Plan or be planned for, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1981.

Argyris, Chris. On Organizational Learning. Cambridge: Blackwell Business,

Brinkerhoff, Robert O., and Stephen J. Gill. The Learning Alliance: Systems Thinking In Human Resource Development. Brace-Park Press

Davidow, William and Michael Malone. The Virtual Corporation: Structuring and Revitalizing the Corporation for the 21st Century. New York: Harper Business, 1992.

Davis, Stan and Jim Botkin. The Monster under the bed. New York: A Touchstone Book, 1994.

Drucker, Peter F. Post-Capitalist Society. New York: Harper Business, 1993.

---- The New Realities: In Government & Politics --in Economics & Business -in Society & the World. HarperCollins Pubs. Inc., 1990, ©1989.

---- Innovation and Entrepreneurship: Practice and Principles., New York:

Harper Business, 1993, ©1985.

Flores, Ricardo. Emproyee Empowerment: Toward a socio-cognitive model of empowerment. ITESM, Campus Monterrey, Disertación para obtener el grado de Doctor en Filosofía Especialidad Comportamiento Organizacional, mayo 1995.

Goldman, Steven L. and Roger N. Nagel, Kenneth Preiss. Agile Competitors and Virtual Organizations: Strategies for enriching the customer. New

York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1995.

Grenier, Raimond and George Metes. Going virtual: Moving your organization into the 21st century. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1995.

Hammer, Michael and James Champy. Reeingeniring the Corporation: A manifesto for business revolution. New York: Haper Bussines, 1993.

Handy, Charles. The Age of Unreason. Mass.: Harvard Business School Press, 1991.

Janov, Jill. The Inventive Organization. Hope and Daring at Work. USA: Jossey-Bass, 1994.

Katzenbach, Jon R. and Douglas K. Smith. The Wisdom of Teams: Creating the high-performance organization. New York: Harper Business, 1994.

McTaggart, James M., Peter M. Kontes and Michael C. Mankis. The Value Imperative: Managing for superior shareholder returns. New York: The Free Press, 1994.

Pinchot, G. & E. The End of Bureucracy and the Raise of the Intelligent Organization. San Francisco: Berrett-Kohler publishers, 1993.

Quinn, James Brian. Intelligent Enterprise: A knowledge and service based paradigm for industry. New York: The Free Press, 1992.

Senge, Peter M. The Fifth Discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. New York: Doubleday Currency, 1990.

Slywotzkys Adrian J. Value Migration: How to think several moves ahead of the competition. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

- Laszlo, Ervin. Systems Theory at the End of the Millennium: Revolution in Science and Transformation in Society, Presidential Address, International Society for the Systems Sciences, Louisville, 1995.
- ---- The Interconnected Universe: Conceptual foundations of a transdisciplinary unified theory. Singapore: World Scientific, 1995.
- ---- "The Alliance of Science and Art for Human Survival" in World Futures, Vol. 30, 1994, pp. 105-110.
- ---- The Choice: Evolution or Extinction? A thinking person's guide to global issues. New York: Tarcher/Putnam, 1994.
- ---- Vision 2020: Reordering chaos for global survival. Switzerland: Gordon and Breach, 1994.
- ---- The Creative Cosmos. Edinburgh: Floris Books, 1993.
- ---- "The Evolution of the Holistic Cognitive Map," in *The Evolution of Cognitive Maps*, R. Artigianiet al. (eds). New York: Gordon & Breach, 1993.
- ---- The Age of Bifurcation: Understanding the Changing World. The World Futures General Evolution Studies Book Series, Vol. 3. New York: Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, 1992.
- ---- Evolution: The Grand Synthesis. Boston & London: Shambhala New Science Library, 1987.
- ---- Science and Reality: The Evolving Vision. (manuscript).
- Montuori, A. "Evolutionary Learning for a Post-Industrial Society: Knowledge, Creativity & Social Ecology" in World Futures, Vol. 30, 1993, pp. 181-202.
- ---- Evolutionary Competence: Creating the Future. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben, 1989.
- Mushakoji, K. "From Technocracy Back to Humanism" in World Futures, Vol. 40, 1994, pp. 75-81.
- Pearce, Joseph Clinton. Evolution's End: Claiming the potential of our intelligence. New York: Harper Collins, 1992.
- Prigogine, I. "Science, Reason and Passion" in World Futures, August 1994, pp. 493-507.
- ---- "Science, Civilization and Democracy." Futures, Vol. 40, 1989, pp. 35-43.
- ---- et al. "Long Term Trends in the Evolution of Complexity" in Goals in a Global Community: The original background papers for Goals for Mankind, Ervin Laszlo et al. (eds). Vol. 1: "Studies on the Conceptual Foundations." New York: Dutton, 1977.
- Rheingold, Howard. The Virtual Community. USA: Addison-Wesley, 1993. Sandelin, S. "Resistance to Dynamic World View" in World Futures, Vol. 30, 1991, pp. 211-219.
- Whitehead, Alfred North. Science and the Modern World -- the Lowell Lectures, 1925. New York: Mentor Books, 1954, ©1948.

Van Doren, Charles. A History of Knowledge: The pivotal events, people, and achievements of worlds history. New York: Ballantine Books, 1991.

Weisbord, Marvin R. Productive Workplaces: Organizing and managing for dignity, meaning, and community. USA: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1991.

---- and Sandra Janoff. Future Search : An action guide to finding common ground in organizations and communities. USA: Berrett Koehler, 1995.

Wheatley, Margaret J. Leadership and the New Science: Learning about organization from an orderly universe. San Francisco: Berrettkoehler Publishers, 1993.

Zuboff, Shoshana. In the Age of the Smart Machine: The future of work and

power. New York: Basic Books, 1988.